

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/222,554	12/29/1998	VORA V. SANJAY	2207/5939	3007		
JOHN C ALTMILLER KENYON & KENYON 1500 K Street NW Suite 700			EXAMINER			
			HUYNH, CONG LAC T			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DC 20005	2178				
				· <u>-</u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/222,554	SANJAY ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	·
	Cong-Lac Huynh	2178	

	Cong-Lac Huynh		2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filir ving replies: (1) an ar tice of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of mendment, aff appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) thater than SIX MONTHS	from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding the statutory period than three months after	onding amount od for reply original	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFf	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date o	of filing a brief	will not be entered by	Pralise
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	_		coause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			. = 55.5,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	-	y materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number	er of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Not	tice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	·			
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 13-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejection	is under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio		•		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the	e application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper N	No(s)		
13. Other:	·			
			Confluin CONGLACHO	NH YNH
		•	PRIMARY EXAL	MINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments are not persuasive. Though Skopp does not said exactly word by word "automatically determining a content data of the given information unit by searching the given information unit" and "automatically selecting the chosen information unit as a function of the content data of the given information unit", Skopp's disclosure implies these features. The fact that the web page associated with the selected advertisement would be displayed according to the web page information (col 5, lines 26-35, 58-67) implies that the content of the web page is automatically determined by searching for a web page among the web pages on the Internet, that matches the selected advertisement. Though selection of an advertisement is done manually by a user in Skopp (col 5, lines 58-67), selection of the chosen information unit, which means an advertisement, as a function of the content data of the given information unit, which means a web page, is done automatically by the client access control application which activates the browser application and obtain a web page associated with that selected advertisement.